

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/732,597	12/08/2000	Edgar B. Cahoon	BB1413 US NA	2801	
23906 7	7590 01/16/2004		EXAMINER		
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			MCELWAIN, ELIZABETH F		
	INT RECORDS CENTER LL PLAZA 25/1128	.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1638		
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	-	Applicant(s)				
	09/732,597		CAHOON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Elizabeth F. Mc		1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory m will apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days a SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONEE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	ıly 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-fin	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-45</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-31 and 34-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>32 and 33</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	. maianitu malan 2	ELLO C 5 440(a)	\ (d\ a = (6)				
12)							
Attachment(s)		1,,,,,,,	(DTO 445) =				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🗀	Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/732,597

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed July 2, 2003 has been entered.

Claim 34 has been newly amended.

Claims 27-45 are pending and are examined on the merits.

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Any rejection that is not repeated has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 27-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the delta-4-16:0 ACP desaturase of SEQ ID NO: 2 encoded by SEQ ID NO: 1, does not reasonably provide enablement for any delta-4-16:0 ACP desaturase having at least 75% sequence identity to said sequences. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. While applicants have established that ehh1c.pk002.f22 is represented by SEQ ID NO:1 and has been shown in Example 8 to have delta-4-16:0 ACP desaturase, the specification does not provide any other sequences that have at least 75% identity to SEQ ID NO: 2 that have delta-4-16:0 ACP desaturase activity. For the reasons set forth in the last office action regarding the unpredictability of homologous sequences having a particular activity, it is highly unpredictable that a sequence that shares some sequence similarity a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID NO: 2 will also encode a protein having delta-4-16:0 ACP desaturase activity. Thus, it would require undue experimentation to make and/or use the invention, as broadly claimed.

Art Unit: 1638

3. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the specification provides evidence that SEQ ID NO: 2 encodes a delta-4-16:0 ACP desaturase, and therefore the claims are enabled.

The Examiner maintains that the rejection is proper, since the specification does not provide support for the invention as broadly claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. Claims 27, 34 and 36-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cahoon et al (PNAS 89: 11184-11188, 1992), as stated in the last office action.
- 5. Applicants' arguments filed July 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants assert that the rejection is improper given that a Clustal alignment of SEQ ID NO: 2 with the sequence taught by Cahoon et al indicates 73.8% identity, which is less than the claimed "at least 75%".
- 6. The Examiner maintains that the rejection is proper given that the percent identity by Clustal alignment will depend upon the parameters used in the calculation.
- 7. No claims are allowed.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

Art Unit: 1638

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to

37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elizabeth F. McElwain whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0802. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flex time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on (571) 272-0804. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

lizabeth F. McElwain

Ph.D. Level Examiner

Art Unit 1638

EFM